

IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT FOR SIOUX COUNTY

STATE OF IOWA,

NO 03841 SMSM026797

Plaintiff,

Vs.

PAUL ROBERT DORR,

MOTION TO RECUSE

Defendant.

COMES NOW the Defendant, Paul Robert Dorr, and for this Motion To Recuse the Honorable Lisa Mazurek respectfully states:

1. On March 20, 2019, this court entered an Order For Expanded Media Coverage following a Request from Jeff Grant, editor of the Northwest Iowa Review, a regional newspaper that concentrates on a six county area of northwest Iowa.

2. On July 8, 2019, the Honorable Lisa Mazurek issued her ruling on the Defendant' s Motion To Dismiss.

3. In overruling the Motion To Dismiss the Honorable Lisa Mazurek failed to mention and preserve in any way that a) the Defendant retains his presumption of innocence until proven guilty and b) that the State is still required to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant committed the offense alleged by the State of Iowa.

3. On the contrary the Honorable Lisa Mazurek stated in her ruling:

“Mr. Dorr is not being sent the message that he cannot burn books . . . ; (h)e is being sent the message that he cannot burn books that do not belong to him.”

4. By making this statement the court not only implies that the court has found the defendant guilty but has assumed the role of a prosecutor who is trying to “send a message” not only to this Defendant but to any others who might contemplate the same or similar activities.

5. This Defendant believes that this statement openly exposes the prejudice of the court and the court’s intention to deny this Defendant a fair trial by jury.

6. As a result of this ruling the news media (which as stated earlier, has been granted expanded media coverage) has given the language of this ruling ‘front page’ news on television, radio and the area newspapers including the Sioux City Journal and the Des Moines Register. This news item has been recited also in Newsweek and other national media outlets (the Register is part of USA Today) all to the prejudice of this Defendant.

7. Also, the court, before ruling on the Motion To Dismiss proceeded at an April 25, 2019, Pretrial Conference to discuss its intention to restrict the Defendant in his efforts to defend himself at trial and that the court was the trier of the law, not the jury, contrary to Common Law principles of the jury’s authority to rule on both, as well-grounded for hundreds of years. In doing so the court exposed its prejudice and its predilection and intention to deny the Motion To Dismiss without due process, assuming without proper consideration and deliberation that the Motion To Dismiss was without substance and was already a nullity.

8. Also, the court, in response to the State’s prior Motion In Limine, granted the State’s request in a July 25, 2019 Court Order, to allow the defendant to offer any reasons for his alleged conduct as limited by the Court to the narrow elements of the charged offense, but then demonstrated further prejudice by also ordering that “**The Court...will temper that ruling and will not allow discussion of the nature or cause of the Defendant’s protest**” before the jury.

9. For nearly thirty years the Defendant, as director of the Cameron Arnold Judicial Reform Initiative (named after the late District Associate Judge Cameron Arnold of Spirit Lake,

Iowa), has actively monitored most gatherings of the Iowa Judicial District #3A Nominating Commission; has found the majority lawyers on the Commission to be people often of low Biblical morality who are antipathetic to well qualified members of the Bar seeking a nomination to the bench who are of high moral caliber (I.e. married for decades with families); the Defendant has publicly exposed former Commission Chairman Tom Hamilton's lie to his fellow Commissioners to manipulate the Commission to arrive at nominations of his choosing; and Defendant has held a press conference in front of the Clay County courthouse (which was reported in the Sioux City Journal), at the very hour of the swearing-in ceremony of District #3A Judge Nancy Whittenburg declaring her morally unfit, while a large number of Judges in District #3 were in attendance. This District Nominating Commission of six lawyers and five non-lawyers routinely then nominate jurists to the bench who have, for example, served on the Spencer Advisory Council of Planned Parenthood, multiple nominees have previously committed adultery on their spouses, and generally have low moral character. After nearly thirty years of observance it is clear to Defendant that the District #3A Nominating Commission is hostile to his religious convictions.

Honorable Magistrate Lisa Mazurek unsuccessfully sought a District Associate Judgeship in 2018 and in the process had to appear before this very same Judicial District #3A Nominating Commission. Dorr fears Magistrate Mazurek's prejudicial conduct may have future career motivations for her.

The Defendant further fears his actions and speech are repugnant to the Iowa court system which has been trying for over ten years to be a national leader in advancing the LGBTQ agenda while undermining Biblical family values and cultural norms. The LGBTQ agenda includes providing filthy books to the little children of Orange City, Iowa.

. Dorr prays that there could be one Judge in Iowa who does not openly expose their prejudice against a person who publicly opposes such morally unqualified jurists.

10. Finally, the court in overruling the Motion To Dismiss included in its Order a denial of the Defendant's exercise of his First Amendment protected rights of Freedom of Speech and Exercise of Religion; Freedom of Speech had only been peripherally mentioned by the Defendant, but was not directly and completely asserted in the original Motion To Dismiss.

11. This is further evidence of the court's intention to short circuit any attempt by the Defendant to assert his constitutional rights, including not only the First Amendment but Due Process and Presumption of Innocence.

12. For all of the foregoing reasons this Defendant believes that this court is substantially prejudiced and has prevented and denied this Defendant his ability and right to obtain due process and a fair trial, particularly in Northwest Iowa.

WHEREFORE, this Defendant, Paul Robert Dorr, respectfully prays this court, the Honorable Lisa Mazurek recuse herself from this case and any and all proceedings involving him.

July 27, 2019

Date

Paul R Dorr #

Paul Robert Dorr, Defendant
(Pro Se)