

A Motion was made requesting Honorable Magistrate Mazurek amend the State's proposed jury instruction (p 8) with the **portion defendant added in red text below**. Additional Motions were entered by defendant the next day. Magistrate Mazurek quickly responded to the Motions of the next day but never responded to this one below. Why not? Where does her power to swear Jurors to such duty to accept the law she provides them, come from? And why not add it to the instructions? **Else, we must ask is the final authority on the law and the facts for a given case, in the hands of an empaneled Jury?**

INSTRUCTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_

You must determine whether Paul Dorr is guilty or not guilty from the evidence and the law in these instructions. My duty is to tell you what the law is. Your duty *under the U.S. Constitution (court provide citation here), the Iowa Constitution (court provide citation here) and under Iowa Code (court provide citation here)* is to accept and apply this law and to decide all fact questions.

*As this is a lawful order from an officer of the Iowa Courts you, as a Juror, can personally be sanctioned with up to (court insert here maximum civil punishment) if you do not do your duty to accept the law as I tell it to you, resulting in a Jury vote to nullify any law(s) being applied to the case against Paul Dorr that I swear you, under oath, to accept.*

You must consider all the instructions together. No one instruction includes all of the applicable law.

As you consider the evidence, do not be influenced by any personal sympathy, bias, prejudices or emotions. Because you are making very important decisions in this case, you are to evaluate the evidence carefully and avoid decisions based on generalizations, gut feelings, prejudices, sympathies, stereotypes, or biases. The law demands that you return a just verdict, based solely on the evidence, your reason and common sense, and these instructions. As jurors, your sole duty is to find the truth and do justice. (Iowa Criminal Jury Instruction 100.8)

***Note from Paul Dorr: All lawyers are forbidden from telling jurors their right to nullify a bad law.***